Rev. 05/19/09			Doc. Code: DISQ.CKLIST	
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER INFORMAL CHECKLIST				
APPL. S.N.:	10/559,851	DATE:	3/5/2010	
EXAMINER:	KELLY BEKKER	ART UNIT:	1794	
PARALEGAL:	/JEAN PROCTOR/	MAIL ROOM	DATE: 1/28/2010	
NUMBER OF TD(s) F	FILED: 1			
If you agree, please us applicant about the Tl	The paralegal has reviewed the submitted TD with the result se the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this inform D. If you disagree, please contact a QAS. IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL CHECKLIST ONLY	nal memo in your	next Office action to notify	
	ILL BE SOFT SCANNED AND NOT VIEWABLE TO		BE MAILED TO	
☐ The TD is PROPE	ER and has been accepted and recorded. (See FP 14.23.)			
☐ The TD is NOT P	ROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checke	d below. (See FP	14.24.)	
	e under 37 CFR 1.20(d) in the amount of \$ has not be to charge to a deposit account. (See FP 14.24 and 14.26.0)	· ·	is there any pre authorization	
☐ The LIE has not p	rocessed fee for TD (the Paralegal should ask LIE to proces	ss the fee).		
his/her ownership	satisfy 37 CFR 1.32(b) (3) in that the person who signed the interest, or (b) the extent of the business/organization entite ee FPs 14.26 and 14.26.01.)			
	enforceable only during the period of common ownership (See FP 14.27.01).	– clause needed t	to overcome a double patenting	
	CFR 1.321(d) statement for joint research agreement under ceability provisions of 37 CFR 1.321(d). (See FP 14.27.011		(2) & (3). It doesn't include the	
	a particular claim(s); this is not acceptable, since the disclared, MPEP 1490. (See FPs 14.26 and 14.26.02).	imer must be of a	terminal portion of the entire	
☐ The person who si	gned the terminal disclaimer:			
☐ failed to state	his/her capacity to sign for the business/organization entity	. (See FP 14.28.)		
is not recogniz	zed as an officer of the assignee. (See FP 14.29.)			
does not have	power of attorney, and thus, is not of record. (See FP 14.29	9.01.)		
established by a list of	ven to a customer number, wherein all practitioners listed uf practitioners, the list may not comprise more than 10 practing the TD unless it is established that the representative is	titioners. A repres	sentative of the assignee, who is	
documentary evic	ported by evidence of chain of title to the assignee signing thence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to the elence was, or concurrently is being, submitted for recordation evidence is recorded in the Office. 37 CFR 3.73(b). (See	assignee and a star on; or (b) the reel	tement affirming that the and frame number(s) where	

NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the TD or in a separate paper submitted by applicant.)
☐ The TD is not supported by adequate evidence of chain of title to the assignee signing the TD, because the person who signed the submission under 37 CFR 3.73(b):
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity. (See FPs 14.30.02 and 14.16.02
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee. (See FP 14.30.02 and 14.16.03)
(Note: On the submission under 37 CFR 3.73(b), the signature of an attorney or agent registered to practice before the Office is not sufficient, unless the attorney or agent is authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.)
☐ The TD is not signed (See FPs 14.26 and 14.26.03)
☐ The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting is not identified (i.e., missing or incorrect) in the TD. (See FP 14.32)
☐ The serial number of the application being examined (or the number of the patent under reexam or reissue) is not identified or incorrect. (See FPs 14.26 and 14.26.04 or 14.26.05)
☐ The TD is not signed by all owners. See FPs 14.26 and 14.26.06.
☐ The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified. (See FPs 14.24, 14.27.02 or 14.27.03)
 \[\int The TD lacks the enforceable only during the period of common ownership clause needed to overcome a double patenting Rule 321(c). (See FP 14.27.01). [] The words "legal title" in the clause do not include common ownership as to equitable title required to be included by 37 CFR 1.321(c)(3) use of the words "commonly assigned."
(Note: 37 CFR 1.321(c)(3) requires that a TD "Include a provision that any patent granted on that application or any patent subject to the reexamination proceeding shall be enforceable only for and during such period that said patent is commonly owned with the application or patent which formed the basis for the judicially created double patenting.")